C.J.'s Court

Writ Petition No. 901 (S/B) of 2002

Sunil Kumar Pande	……..	Petitioner
	V/s	
State of Uttaranchal and Others	……..	Respondents

…….

Hon. A. A. Desai, C.J.
Hon. Irshad Hussain,J.

Sri A. D. Tripathi, learned counsel for the petitioner and learned C.S.C. for the respondents appeared.

The petitioner was appointed as 'Shiksha Bandhu' for a period of one year. After expiry of the period, he was discontinued on 1.6.2002. According to him, the scheme of 'Shiksha Bandhu' has been extended for another period of one year. As such, he is entitled to continue as 'Shiksha Bandhu'.

Heard learned Chief Standing Counsel. According to him, 'Shiksha Bandhu' is an arrangement where regular post of teacher is vacant for some reason. If such post are filled, the arrangement of 'Shiksha Bandhu' does not continue. In case where the petitioner was working as 'Shiksha Bandhu' the post is lying vacant he will be considered for continuation provided the appropriate representation is made to the concerned authorities. In view of this statement, the petitioner is a liberty to make appropriate representation to the concerned authority within a period of one week. The respondent authority shall consider and decide the same according to merit of the claim within a period of one weeks from the date of the receipt of his representation.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

(Irshad Hussain, J.) **(A. A. Desai, C.J.)**

Dt. 03.09.2002
A